

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 ROMERO HEAD, as the court-appointed  
11 Personal Representative of the Estate of  
12 ROMEO A. HEAD,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 DISTTECH, LLC, *et al.*,

16 Defendants.

CASE NO. C16-1727 RSM

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION  
FOR CLARIFICATION AND STAY

17 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion Requesting Clarification and  
18 Stay of Defendant's Pending Motion. Dkt. #83. Plaintiff requests the Court provide  
19 clarification and guidance regarding the duties and obligations of the Guardian ad Litem  
20 ("GAL"s) in this case and that the Court stay ruling on Defendants' pending Motion "to allow  
21 the GALs to fulfill their obligations and duties." *Id.* at 1.

22 On December 6, 2017, this Court appointed five GALs, stating that they "shall  
23 investigate and report upon the adequacy of any offered Settlement as related to the five minor  
24

1 surviving children (beneficiaries) of Romeo Head.” Dkt. #75. On December 15, 2017, the  
2 parties participated in an unsuccessful mediation. *See* Dkt. #83 at 2.

3 The Court intended for the GALs to report on settlements, not offers. The Court does  
4 not request or permit the GALs to report the details of the unsuccessful mediation. The Court  
5 clarifies that the GALs need only report to the Court if a settlement is reached between the  
6 parties in this case. Given this, there is no good cause to stay the Court’s consideration of other  
7 pending motions in this matter.

8 Having reviewed the relevant briefing and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby  
9 finds and ORDERS that Plaintiff’s Motion to Stay Defendant’s Pending Motion (Dkt. #83) is  
10 DENIED as stated above.

11 DATED this 1<sup>st</sup> day of February 2018.

12  
13 

14 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
15 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24